Guide for Review of Individual			
CDBG-Funded Re	habilitation Activities		
Name of Program Participant:			
Staff Consulted:			
Property	Project/Application/		
Address:	Identifying Number:		
Application Type (Loan, Grant, etc.):			
Name(s) of	Date		
Reviewer(s)			

NOTE: All questions that address requirements contain the citation for the source of the requirement (statute, regulation, NOFA, or grant agreement). If the requirement is not met, HUD must make a finding of noncompliance. All other questions (questions that do not contain the citation for the requirement) do not address requirements, but are included to assist the reviewer in understanding the participant's program more fully and/or to identify issues that, if not properly addressed, could result in deficient performance. Negative conclusions to these questions may result in a "concern" being raised, but not a **"finding."**

<u>Instructions</u>: Complete this Exhibit for <u>each</u> rehabilitation project or activity selected as part of the monitoring review. The Exhibit is divided into eight sections: Eligibility; National Objective; Rehabilitation of Multi-Family Housing; Rehabilitation of Rental Housing; Property Inspection; Rehabilitation Management; Rehabilitation Processing Procedures; and Other Requirements. HUD reviewers should select an appropriate sample (either randomly or judgmentally selected). Irrespective of the sampling type, consideration should be given to:

- the type of rehabilitation undertaken by the program participant, e.g., owner-occupied; investor-owned; multi-family; single family; mixed use; non-residential;
- completed, in-progress, and denied cases;
- properties that were substandard prior to rehabilitation and were brought up to standard with CDBG funds;
- cases for which the Field Office received a recent formal complaint.

If this program participant's overall rehabilitation program is being reviewed as part of the monitoring, conclusions reached in the individual file reviews conducted using this Exhibit should be used to support determinations made under Exhibit 3-10, "Guide for Review of CDBG-Funded Rehabilitation Program Management." When reviewing larger programs, it may be necessary to either increase the sample size or select a random sample in order to support conclusions about the program participant's performance as a whole.

On-Site or Remote Property Inspections. Because housing rehabilitation has been identified as a high risk CDBG activity, emphasis should be placed on visual property inspections, as frequently as time and resources permit, to determine if the rehabilitation funds were expended to provide quality rehabilitation at reasonable costs. If the expenditure of funds for rehabilitation is not reflected in the work accomplished, then the reviewer needs to determine causes for any

3-1 09/2005

discrepancies and discuss them with the program participant. Suspicious circumstances should be referred to the Regional Inspector General, consistent with Departmental procedures.

It is recommended that HUD reviewers inspect a minimum of *five* properties when the program participant's annual rehabilitation program budget equals \$500,000 or more, or a minimum of *three* properties when the program participant's annual rehabilitation program budget is less than \$500,000.

Please note that substandard but suitable for rehabilitation is defined by the program participant in its Consolidated Plan and includes, as a minimum, those units that do not meet Section 8 Existing Housing Quality Standards. The term "standard" refers to units that do not meet the local definition of substandard. [See 24 CFR 91.205(b).]

On-site property inspections must be scheduled with advance notice to the owner/occupant. The program participant shall contact the owners/occupants involved to schedule an inspection. The owner or a representative of the owner and a program participant representative should be present, especially for inspection of property interiors. In the event it is not possible to inspect all the properties selected, HUD reviewers may select additional files for the purpose of property inspections only. To carry out the inspection, HUD reviewers should:

- a. Review documentation of substandard conditions, such as the initial property inspections, or equivalent;
- b. Review the work write-up and cost estimates, or the rehabilitation contract (or equivalent steps for documenting the work performed or to be performed);
- c. Review the final inspection (certificates, or equivalent documentation, if any);
- d. Review the actual work accomplished in comparison with the items established in the rehabilitation contract and the actual expenditures per item to the extent discernible; and
- e. Cover all aspects of the construction as set forth in the work write-up.

Questions

A. <u>ELIGIBILITY</u>

a.	How is this activity classified in the Consolidated Plan Annual Action Plan or the
	Integrated Disbursements and Information System (IDIS)?
De	scribe Basis for Conclusion:

	b. Does the project file for the CDBG assistance provide a full descript sufficient to show that the activity is eligible and has been properly classified under Subpart C of Part 570?	ion	Yes	No No		
	[24 CFR 570.506(a)]					
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:					
	NATIONAL OBJECTIVE					
2.						
	Which national objective is this activity intended to meet?					
	Housing activities [24 CFR 570.208(a)(3)]	70.2004	\/1\1			
	Activities to address slums or blights on an area basis ([24 CFR 570]) Activities to address slums or blight on a spot basis [24 CFR 570]	Activities to address slums or blights on an area basis ([24 CFR 570.208(b)(1)]				
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:	.200(0)(2	<i>.</i>)]			
	Describe dasis for Conclusion.					
3.						
	a. If the activity was designed to benefit low- and moderate-income ho					
	definition of income did the program participant use (Section 8, Cen	sus long	torm,			
	adjusted gross income)? Describe Basis for Conclusion:					
	Describe dasis for Conclusion:					

3-3 09/2005

4.

Exhibit 3-11 CDBG Entitlement Program

b.	. If the low- and moderate-income national objective for housing applies to this activity, is there documentation that shows that the applicant is low- and moderate-income, based on the applicant's household size and household income? [24 CFR 570.208(b)(3) and 24 CFR 570.506(b)(4)(iii)]	Yes	No	N/A
D	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
c.	. For an activity determined to benefit low- and moderate-income households, were the correct income limits applied at the point in time when the benefit was determined? [24 CFR 570.506(b)(1) and 24 CFR 570.506(b)(4)(iii)]	Yes	No	N/A
D	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
77	Where less than 51 percent of the units in a structure will be occupied by			
lo th 5'	ow- and moderate-income households, are there records demonstrating that ne activity meets the criteria as set forth at 570.208(a)(3), (3)(i), and/or 70.208(d)? 24 CFR 570.506(b)(4)(vi)]	Yes	No	N/A
D	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

5.				
	For each property acquired for the purpose of providing or improving housing and on which there are no structures, is there evidence of commitments ensuring that the criteria in 570.208(a)(3) will be met when the structures are built? [24 CFR 570.506(b)(4)(v)]	Yes	No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
C. 6.	REHABILITATION OF MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING			
	a. Is there a copy of a written agreement between the program participant/subrecipient and the landlord or developer receiving CDBG assistance? [24 CFR 570.506(b)(4)(i)]	Yes	No	□ N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
	b. If the answer to "a" above is "yes," does the agreement include the total			
	number of dwelling units in each multifamily structure assisted and the number of units that will be occupied by low-and moderate-income households upon completion? (Include the numbers below.) [24 CFR 570.506(b)(4)(i)]	Yes	No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:	<u>I</u>		

3-5 09/2005

	c. Is there file documentation that shows the total cost of the activity, including both CDBG and non-CDBG funds? (Include in your response below the CDBG funds, the non-CDBG funds, and the total.)	Yes	☐ No	N/A
	[24 CFR 570.506(b)(4)(ii)]			
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:	l		
	REHABILITATION OF RENTAL HOUSING			
7.	Is there documentation showing the rent charged (or to be charged) after			
	completion, for each CDBG-assisted dwelling unit in each structure?		Ш	
	[24 CFR 570.506(b)(4)(iv)(A)]	Yes	No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
8.		l		
	Is there documentation showing the affordability of the assisted units (occupied or to be occupied) by low- and moderate-income households,			
	pursuant to criteria established and made public by the program participant?	Yes	No	N/A
	[24 CFR 570.506(b)(4)(iv)(B)]			
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

E. PROPERTY INSPECTION

١.			
	Is there a copy of an initial inspection in the file?		
		Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
10.			
	Does the file contain a Work Write-Up and Cost Estimate that addresses the		
	issues raised in the initial inspection?	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
	REHABILITATION MANAGEMENT		
11.			
	If a loan was made to the owner, is there a copy of a promissory note or loan		
	agreement signed by the owner in a properly secured file?	s No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
۱2.			
L 2.	a. Is there a construction contract or equivalent document in the file?		
		Yes	□ No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

3-7 09/2005

b.	If "yes" to "a" above, does the contract contain either: (i) a list of all of the work to be performed, or (ii) refer to some other document (e.g., a work		
	write-up) that lists all of the work to be performed?	Yes	No
De	escribe Basis for Conclusion:		
c.	Does the contract include the cost of the work to be performed and, if so,		П
	does the cost appear reasonable for the kind of work to be performed? (If	Yes	□ No
	"no," describe what you found.)	162	NO
De	escribe Basis for Conclusion:		
4	Does the contract name all of the contractors and/or subcontractors		
u.	responsible for performing each item of work?		Ш
De	escribe Basis for Conclusion:	Yes	No
	Scribe Dasis for Conclusion.		
e.	Is there documentation to show that the program participant cleared all		
	contractors (general and subcontractors) through the HUD listing for	Yes	No
	debarred and suspended participants?		
Do	[24 CFR 570.506 and 85.3] escribe Basis for Conclusion:		
De	escribe dasis for Coliciusion:		

13.			
	Is a pre-construction conference held with the contract parties?		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:	⊥ Yes	_No_
4.			
	Is there a copy of a written "Notice to Proceed" to the contractor in the file?		N _a
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:	Yes	No
5.			
	a. Was there a local building permit taken out for the job?		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:	Yes	No
	Describe Dasis for Conclusion.		
	b. If a permit was taken out, did the building official sign off on the permit,	1	
	indicating that the work was completed?	s No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
6.			
	Is there documentation of routine progress inspections?		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:	Yes	No

3-9 09/2005

7.		
Is the work inspected prior to paying the contractor?	Yes	No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	163	140
8.		
a. Is the actual cost of the work components documented?		П
	Yes	No.
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
b. Did the owner or the owner's authorized representative approve each		
payment?	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
	Yes	No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
9.		
Are payment disbursement records maintained?		
	Yes	No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

20.				
	a. Are change orders documented and approved by the owner?			
		es N	No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
	b. Does the scope and cost of change order work appear reasonable?			
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:	es N	No	N/A
21.				
	Is there documentation of a final inspection of the work by program participant	t [
	staff?	Y	es	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
22.				
	Has a formal Notice of Completion been recorded? (This notice sets a time			
	limit for filing any mechanic's liens against the property, if applicable.)	Y	es	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

3-11 09/2005

23.					
	Is there releases	documentation to show the contractor provided the appropriate lien ?		Yes	No
	Describ	e Basis for Conclusion:			
24.					
	[OS] a.	inspection?	Yes	No	N/A
	Describ	e Basis for Conclusion:			
	[OS] b.	Does a walk-through inspection indicate that all items were completed as specified in the Work Write-Up?	Yes	No	N/A
	Describ	e Basis for Conclusion:			
25.					
	with prov	the owner, the owner's representative, or property manager, satisfied the work? (NOTE: The owner, representative, or manager should be wided an opportunity to be interviewed with only HUD personnel tent.)		Yes	No
	Describ	e Basis for Conclusion:			

	b. Is there evidence that the owner received contractor and equipment				
	warranties?	Yes	No		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:				
	REHABILITATION PROCESSING PROCEDURES				
26.					
	What is the date of the initial application for rehabilitation assistance?				
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:				
27.					
	What date was the application either approved or denied?				
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:				
	Describe dasis for Conclusion.				
28.					
	If the application for rehabilitation assistance was approved, what date did the reh	abilita	ation		
	work begin?				
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:				
29.					
	If the rehabilitation was approved, what date was the rehabilitation completed and	l final			
	payment to the contractor made?				
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:				

3-13 09/2005

30.				
	Is there any indication that the rehabilitation processing time for this activity			
	was excessive and not due to extenuating circumstances or circumstances		Yes	No
	beyond the control of the program participant?			
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
П	OTHER REQUIREMENTS			
п. 31.				
51.	Is there documentation to support compliance with the Lead Hazards		\Box	
	requirements of 24 CFR Part 35? (Use appropriate Exhibits in Chapter 24 to			
	answer this question.)		Yes	No
	[24 CFR 570.608]			
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
32.				
	If CDBG funds were used in a project involving the rehabilitation,			
	acquisition, or demolition of the property, and if any tenants or owners were	Yes	No	N/A
	required to relocate permanently or temporarily, were the applicable			
	relocation requirements followed [e.g., the Uniform Relocation Act (URA), Section 104(d)]? (If needed, refer to your Regional Relocation Specialist			
	for assistance with this question.)			
	[24 CFR 570.606, 49 CFR 24, and 24 CFR 42]			
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
	Describe Dasis for Conclusion.			

33.				
	Were the environmental requirements at Part 58 met? (Use the applicable			
	Exhibit(s) in Chapter 21 to answer this question.)		Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
34.				
	If applicable, were Davis-Bacon requirements met? (Use the appropriate			
	question(s) in Chapter 23 to answer this question.)	Yes	No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

3-15 09/2005